



Fakultät II – Department für Informatik

Materializing Creative Coding Ideas
Applications and Challenges of Computational Making in Youth's
Coding Education

Dissertation zur Erlangung des Grades eines
“Doktor der Ingenieurwissenschaften”

vorgelegt von
Christos Chytas

Gutachterinnen:
Prof. Dr. Ira Diethelm
Prof. Dr. Heidi Schelhowe

Ort und Tag der Disputation:
Oldenburg, 25. Februar 2021

Acknowledgments

I want to thank many people whose collaboration and support made this work possible.

First, I must thank my first advisor, Ira Diethelm, for her constant support, academic guidance, and mentorship. Ira, you have not only welcomed me in your research group, but you encouraged me to follow my scientific interests and inspired me to take on challenging problems and grow as an academic and a person.

My second advisor, Heidi Schelhowe, also deserves a huge "Thank you!" for guiding me along the journey towards completing this dissertation. Her multifaceted work with digital media in education was an inspiration since the very beginning of my work at Fab Lab Bremen and diMeB.

During my time with the DDI research group and the GINT program, I had the opportunity to work with researchers from very different backgrounds and foci who shared their knowledge and perspective with me. I want to thank all former and present colleagues from both groups, especially Nils Pancratz, Tobias Stuckenberg, Anatolij Fandrich, Wibke Duwe, Mareike Daeglau, Claudia Hildebrandt, Matthias Matzner, Anastasia Striligka, Swantje Müller, Dirk Stiefs, and Sönke Janssen. Special thanks also to Susanne Weßnigk, Claus Michelsen, and Peter Röben. They have known my research since its early beginning and contributed with constructive discussions and valuable suggestions about the research methodology.

A special thanks to all colleagues at the diMeB research group and especially Michael Lund, Nadine Dittert, Iris Bockermann, and Bernd Robben, for their invaluable support on the theoretical parts of my thesis and the organization of workshops.

In the last years, I had the opportunity to work and discuss with international researchers through research visits and attending conferences to present our work. This work has greatly benefited from conversations with (among others) Jenny Sendova, Wolfgang Slany, Michail Giannakos, Sofia Papavlasopoulou, Zacharoula Papamitsiou, Monica Divitini, John Falk, Peter Labudde, and the Fablearn Fellows folks.

This research would have been impossible without the teachers, expert designers, and especially the children and youth who participated in our workshops and critically reflected on their experiences. I further thank Eike Brahms, Maximilian Rodiek, and the student assistants of our research group who contributed to the implementation and evaluation of these workshops.

Last but not least, I want to thank my family and friends for encouraging and inspiring me over the years. Without them, it would have been impossible for me to carry out this work.

Abstract

Nowadays, coding with computers has become a form of creative expression that enables the production of useful and socially significant artifacts. As automation and 3D printers replace workers in manufacturing and construction, the ability to code and design is becoming crucial for daily life and future employment. Coding and design are still seen by many as challenging activities for expertly trained individuals. However, advances in technology have led to the development of increasingly affordable and accessible computational design and fabrication tools that have reached a wide range of users, including children and youth.

This dissertation examines applications of computational design and 3D printing in coding learning activities for youth through the lens of constructionism. It presents the design, implementation and evaluation of computational design and 3D printing workshops in formal and non-formal learning environments. In those workshops, the participants had the possibility to use computational concepts and coding practices to design and fabricate personally meaningful artifacts. The workshops were evaluated using a mixed-methods approach and refined through iterative circles of design and research. The findings suggest that the workshops' approach was favorably received by both youth and teachers and increased learning gains, enjoyment, and motivation for coding activities. Challenges and barriers to using the combination of computational design and 3D printing in the classroom are identified, and teaching and learning strategies as possible solutions are discussed.

The thesis contributes to research on coding education and human-computer interaction by providing recommendations and design principles to adequately address content and pedagogical issues of integrating 3D printing technologies and coding into STEAM learning activities, as well as an in-depth understanding of the potential role of 3D printing technology and creative coding in youth's life-worlds.

Kurzfassung

Coding mit Computern ist heute zu einer Form des kreativen Ausdrucks geworden, die die Herstellung nützlicher und gesellschaftlich bedeutsamer Artefakte ermöglicht. Coding und Design werden von vielen immer noch als herausfordernde Tätigkeiten für Expert*innen angesehen. Fortschritte in der Technologie haben jedoch zur Entwicklung immer günstigerer und zugänglicherer Tools für Computational Design und digitale Fabrikation geführt, die ein breites Spektrum von Benutzer*innen, darunter auch Kinder und Jugendliche, erreicht haben.

In dieser Dissertation werden die Anwendungen von Computational Design und 3D- Druck beim Coding in Lernaktivitäten für Jugendliche unter dem Blickwinkel des Konstruktivismus untersucht. Diese Arbeit präsentiert eine dreijährige empirische Forschung über Computational Design und 3D Druck Workshops an formalen und non-formalen Lernorten. Während der Workshops hatten die Teilnehmer*innen die Möglichkeit, grundlegende Konzepte der Informatik zu verwenden, um persönlich bedeutsame Artefakte zu entwerfen und herzustellen. Die Workshops wurden mit einem methodengemischten Ansatz evaluiert und durch iterative Design- und Forschungszyklen verfeinert. Die Ergebnisse zeigen, dass die Workshops sowohl von den Jugendlichen als auch von den Lehrkräften positiv aufgenommen wurden und den Lernzuwachs, die Freude und die Motivation für die Kodierungsaktivitäten erhöhten. Es werden Herausforderungen und Hindernisse für den Einsatz der Kombination von Computerdesign und 3D-Druck im Klassenzimmer identifiziert und entsprechende Lehr- und Lernstrategien als Lösungen diskutiert.

Die Dissertation leistet einen Beitrag zur Forschung auf dem Gebiet der Coding Education und der Mensch-Computer-Interaktion, indem sie Empfehlungen und Designprinzipien liefert, um die inhaltlichen und pädagogischen Fragen der Integration von 3D-Drucktechnologien und Coding in die MINT-Lernaktivitäten adäquat zu behandeln sowie ein vertieftes Verständnis der potenziellen Rolle der 3D- Drucktechnologie und des kreativen Coding für die Lebenswelt junger Menschen.

List of Publications

Parts of this dissertation include concepts, ideas, and findings from our published research studies. I was the lead author of the publications that this work is based on, except for two conference papers (Sendova et al., 2018; Pancratz et al., 2019). My contribution to both works was focused on digital fabrication and computational design tools in education and their potential for personal expression. Additionally, the dissertation follows the style of the original publications (including the first-person plural). The following list provides an overview of our published studies and the related chapters of the dissertation:

Chapter 4

- Chytas, C., Tsilingiris, A., & Diethelm, I. (2019). Exploring Computational Thinking Skills in 3D Printing: A Data Analysis of an Online Makerspace. In A. K. Ashmawy & S. Schreiter (Eds.), IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON 2019, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, April 8-11, 2019 (pp. 1173–1179). IEEE.
- Chytas, C., Diethelm, I., & Tsilingiris, A. (2018). Learning programming through design: An analysis of parametric design projects in digital fabrication labs and an online makerspace. In 2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON 2018, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Tenerife, Islas Canarias, Spain, April 17-20, 2018 (pp. 1978–1987). IEEE.
- Chytas, C., Diethelm, I., & Lund, M. (2017). Parametric design and digital fabrication in computer science education. In Online Proceedings of ISSEP 2017 - The 10th International Conference on Informatics in Schools (pp. 1–2).

Chapter 5

- Chytas, C., Tsilingiris, A., & Diethelm, I. (2019). Exploring Computational Thinking Skills in 3D Printing: A Data Analysis of an Online Makerspace. In A. K. Ashmawy & S. Schreiter (Eds.), IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON 2019, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, April 8-11, 2019 (pp. 1173–1179). IEEE.
- Pancratz, N., Fandrich, A., Chytas, C., Daeglau, M., & Diethelm, I. (2019). Blöcke, Blumen, Mikrocontroller und das Internet of Things. In A. Pasternak (Ed.), Informatik für alle (p. 295-304). Bonn: Gesellschaft für Informatik.
- Chytas, C., Diethelm, I., & Tsilingiris, A. (2018). Learning programming through design: An analysis of parametric design projects in digital fabrication labs and an online makerspace. In 2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON 2018, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Tenerife, Islas Canarias, Spain, April.

- Chytas, C., & Diethelm, I. (2018). Designing constructionist learning environments with computational design and digital fabrication. In D. Valentina & J. Egl'e (Eds.), *Proceedings of Constructionism 2018: Constructionism, Computational Thinking and Educational Innovation* (pp. 547–553).

Chapter 6

- Chytas, C., Diethelm, I., & Tsilingiris, A. (2018). Learning programming through design: An analysis of parametric design projects in digital fabrication labs and an online makerspace. In *2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON 2018*, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Tenerife, Islas Canarias, Spain, April.

Chapter 8

- Chytas, C., & Diethelm, I. (2018). Designing constructionist learning environments with computational design and digital fabrication. In D. Valentina & J. Egl'e (Eds.), *Proceedings of Constructionism 2018: Constructionism, Computational Thinking and Educational Innovation* (pp. 547–553).
- Chytas, C., Diethelm, I., & Tsilingiris, A. (2018). Learning programming through design: An analysis of parametric design projects in digital fabrication labs and an online makerspace. In *2018 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference, EDUCON 2018*, Santa Cruz de Tenerife, Tenerife, Islas Canarias, Spain, April.
- Sendova, E., Chytas, C., Ołędzka, K., Romeike, R., & Slany, W. (2018). Creating and looking at art with logo eyes. In D. Valentina & J. Egl'e (Eds.), *Proceedings of Constructionism 2018: Constructionism, Computational Thinking and Educational Innovation* (pp. 855–867).

Table of Contents

Acknowledgments	I
Abstract	II
Kurzfassung	III
List of Publications	V
List of Tables	VIII
List of Figures	IX
List of Abbreviations	XII
1 Introduction: Situating the Thesis	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Purpose Statement	3
1.3 Research Questions	4
1.4 Significance and Contributions	5
1.5 Structure of the Thesis	6
2 Computational Making in Educational Contexts	9
2.1 From Computational Design to Computational Literacy	9
2.2 Expressive Technologies for Computational Making Learning Activities	11
2.3 3D Printing as an Emerging Technology and its Applications in Education	13
2.4 Constructionism-based Learning Activities	16
2.5 Making in School and Out-of-School Settings	18
2.6 Computational Making and Gaps in Literature Reviewed	20
2.7 Bridging the Gap in Literature Reviewed	24
3 A Mixed-Methods Approach for Evaluating Computational Making Activities	25
3.1 Rationale for a Mixed-Methods Approach	26
3.2 Data Collection Procedures	27
3.3 Data Analysis	29
4 Insights from Computational Making Online	31
4.1 Initiating Basic Logic Structures, Coding Practices, and CT Skills through 3D Design	31

4.2	Methods: Investigation of the Online 3D Repository	32
4.3	Description of the Online Computational Design Platform	33
4.4	Findings	37
4.4.1	Programming Features and Computational Concepts	37
4.4.2	Popular Projects	39
4.4.3	Computational Concepts in 3D Design	41
4.5	Contribution	43
5	Insights from Computational Making Workshops	45
5.1	Organization and Description of the Workshops	45
5.2	Methods	47
5.2.1	Interviews with Workshop Participants	48
5.2.2	Observations	48
5.2.3	Questionnaires and Surveys	49
5.2.4	Participants Demographics	49
5.3	Findings	51
5.3.1	Learning Computational Concepts and Practices through the Lens of Design	51
5.3.2	Young Peoples' Attitudes toward Computational Making Activities	55
5.3.3	Challenges to Overcome	67
5.4	Contribution: Design Principles for Computational Making Activities in an Educational Context	70
6	Computational Making in the STEAM classroom	75
6.1	Methods	75
6.1.1	Description of the Workshop	76
6.1.2	Students Sample	76
6.2	Findings	77
6.2.1	Qualitative Evaluation of Students' Code	77
6.2.2	Quantitative Analysis of Students' Code	82
6.2.3	Class Observations and Surveys Analysis	84
6.3	Contribution	88
7	Computational Making with Teachers	89
7.1	Teacher Training on Computational Making	89
7.2	Methods	91
7.2.1	Teacher Participants Demographics	92
7.3	Findings	93
7.3.1	Perceived Benefits of Computational Making in STEAM Education	94

7.3.2	Challenges of Computational Making in STEAM Lessons	98
7.3.3	Support Strategies for Computational Making	101
7.3.4	Concerns for Using 3D Printers/Computational Design in the STEAM Classroom	104
7.4	Contribution: Suggestions for Engaging and Empowering In-service Teachers	106
8	Discussion and Conclusion	110
8.1	Revisiting the Research Questions	110
8.1.1	To what Extent Is Computational Making Suitable to Initiate Computational Concepts and Practices to Youth?	110
8.1.2	What Was the Learning Outcome of Computational Making Activ- ities for Youth in Terms of Using Computational Concepts and Practices?	112
8.1.3	How Can Computational Making Activities in an Educational Context Connect to the Life-worlds of Children and Youth? . .	114
8.1.4	What Are the Challenges and Benefits of Computational Making in the STEAM Classroom?	117
8.2	Discussion and Conclusion	122
9	Limitations and Future Work	125
9.1	Limitations	125
9.2	Future Work	126
10	Appendices	128
10.1	List of Tools	128
10.2	Computational Making Workshop Description	129
10.3	Interview Guidelines	130
10.4	Questionnaires and Surveys for Young People	131
10.5	Surveys for Teachers	135
	Bibliography	137

List of Tables

2.1	Key previous works in computational making in educational contexts . . .	23
4.1	Count of CAD and programming commands (Chytas et al., 2019) . . .	38
4.2	Most popular projects on the online 3D model repository (Chytas et al., 2019)	39
6.1	Quantitative analysis of students' code (Chytas et al., 2018)	83
6.2	Computational making for interdisciplinary learning	86
6.3	Core concepts of the K-12 CS framework in computational making . . .	86
7.1	Structure of the teacher training workshop	90
7.2	Demographics of the teacher participants	93
10.1	Structure of the workshop	129

List of Figures

3.1	Iterative design and research approach (Adjusted from Katterfeldt et al., 2015)	25
3.2	Mixed-methods approach design	27
4.1	Number of items uploaded on the online 3D model repository	33
4.2	Three-dimensional Shapes	34
4.3	Examples of Translation (top) and Rotation (bottom)	35
4.4	Example of using the scaling command	35
4.5	Union, Difference, and Intersection	36
4.6	Example of a hulled-loop (on the right)	36
4.7	Most popular models of the online repository	40
4.8	Figures of a ballerina and Totoro (Chytas et al., 2019)	40
4.9	Creative use of loops to generate models of sprinkles on a doughnut . .	42
4.10	Use of iterations and conditional statements for the generation of the branches	43
5.1	Age of the workshop participants (N= 27, 14 male and 13 female) . . .	50
5.2	Previous experience of workshop participants	50
5.3	Generated 3D model of a building with iterative elements as designed by a workshop participant	52
5.4	Generated model of the participant's code	53
5.5	Proper indentation and decomposition in the code of a workshop participant	53
5.6	Generated and 3D printed model of a flower	55
5.7	Projects of the workshop participants	56
5.8	Projects inspired by the hobby of a workshop participant	57
5.9	Gadget projects of workshop participants intended for daily use	57
5.10	A 3D model of a helmet as imagined by a student participant	58
5.11	Most appealing projects for 3D printing (N=37)	59
5.12	Variations of flower designs from a tutorial according to the personal preferences of the student participants	60
5.13	Abstract design model of a workshop participant	61
5.14	Would you share your project online? (N=37)	62
5.15	The overall experience of the workshop participants (N=27)	65
5.16	Encountered difficulties of students in computational design	68
6.1	A project of a student which included more iterative elements than initially planned	78

6.2 Generated model of a speaker as imagined by a student 79

6.3 Evaluation of the learning outcomes of the students 81

6.4 The overall experience of the student participants of the technology course 85

6.5 Use of iterations to generate a flock of sheep 87

7.1 Potential 3D printing projects for the STEAM classroom 90

7.2 Generated model based on the Menger sponge tutorial 91

7.3 Generated model based on the flower tutorial 91

List of Abbreviations

2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
CNC	Computer Numerical Control
CS	Computer Science
CSE	Computer Science Education
CSG	Constructive Solid Geometry
CT	Computational Thinking
DF	Digital Fabrication
DIY	Do It Yourself
HCI	Human-Computer Interaction
K-12	Kindergarten-Twelfth Grade
NSF	National Science Foundation
PLA	Polylactic Acid
SOLO	Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes
STEAM	Science Technology Engineering Art and Mathematics
STEM	Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics
STL	Stereolithography
TEL	Technology-Enhanced Learning
URL	Uniform Resource Locator